## Combining Probabilities

## Lagging and blending percentile data

Currently when a new ensemble forecast is produced then, provided it is a higher resolution ensemble, we replace the percentile values for that forecast period with the values created from the new ensemble forecast. However what we would like to do is find a method similar to the method currently used by the deterministic Bestdata feed to lag and blend forecasts from different models together.

Currently in the deterministic Bestdata feed we have

$$
x_{b l e n d e d}=w_{1} x_{o l d}+w_{2} x_{n e w}
$$

where the weights $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ depend on which model the forecast is from and the forecast period.

Here we are looking at the special case where we are combining two ensembles together. A separate method will be described for the case where we want to add a deterministic model to an ensemble.

To illustrate the proposed method we shall start with an example.
Suppose for a particular site and forecast period we have two ensemble forecasts (ENS1, ENS2) with 12 members.

| member | ENS1 | ENS2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 5.00 | 9.18 |
| 2 | 6.00 | 9.42 |
| 3 | 6.00 | 9.45 |
| 4 | 7.00 | 9.91 |
| 5 | 9.72 | 9.96 |
| 6 | 9.89 | 10.30 |
| 7 | 10.15 | 10.45 |
| 8 | 10.16 | 10.55 |
| 9 | 10.26 | 11.08 |
| 10 | 10.49 | 11.12 |
| 11 | 10.56 | 11.54 |
| 12 | 10.86 | 11.74 |



Calculation of the percentile values for each ensemble and the combined ensemble is an easy calculation.

| Percentile | cdf1 | cdf2 | combined |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5 \%$ | 5.55 | 9.310225 | 6 |
| $10 \%$ | 6 | 9.41908 | 6.3 |
| $20 \%$ | 6.2 | 9.53888 | 9.322 |
| $30 \%$ | 7.81714 | 9.92814 | 9.69592 |
| $40 \%$ | 9.78904 | 10.09732 | 9.92356 |
| $50 \%$ | 10.01695 | 10.374 | 10.1535 |
| $60 \%$ | 10.1548 | 10.5068 | 10.2944 |
| $70 \%$ | 10.23 | 10.9197 | 10.4931 |
| $80 \%$ | 10.4416 | 11.1086 | 10.681 |
| $90 \%$ | 10.5536 | 11.4994 | 11.1049 |
| $99 \%$ | 10.828 | 11.72089 | 11.69677 |

However in practice to recalculate the combined percentiles we would need to store every ensemble that goes into the combined percentiles, extract them and calculate the combined percentile values. With two ensembles this isn't a problem but to use lagging and blending properly we would need all the models and forecast-steps, this is not practical.

We need a way to calculate the combined percentiles from the percentiles from the individual ensembles.

The obvious method of just taking the average of the percentiles is not going to work

| Percentile | cdf1 | cdf2 | average | combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | 5.55 | 9.310225 | 7.430113 | 6 |
| $10 \%$ | 6 | 9.41908 | 7.70954 | 6.3 |
| $20 \%$ | 6.2 | 9.53888 | 7.86944 | 9.322 |
| $30 \%$ | 7.81714 | 9.92814 | 8.87264 | 9.69592 |
| $40 \%$ | 9.78904 | 10.09732 | 9.94318 | 9.92356 |
| $50 \%$ | 10.01695 | 10.374 | 10.19548 | 10.1535 |
| $60 \%$ | 10.1548 | 10.5068 | 10.3308 | 10.2944 |
| $70 \%$ | 10.23 | 10.9197 | 10.57485 | 10.4931 |
| $80 \%$ | 10.4416 | 11.1086 | 10.7751 | 10.681 |
| $90 \%$ | 10.5536 | 11.4994 | 11.0265 | 11.1049 |
| $99 \%$ | 10.828 | 11.72089 | 11.27445 | 11.69677 |



Figure 1
The blue/purple lines are the cdfs of the individual ensembles. The thick line is the combined. The dotted line is the average

This is because we are trying to combine the probability distributions, the percentiles are points fixed within the distribution dependent on the distribution, so in the combined probability distribution the outlining percentile values will reflect the change in the range from combining the two ensembles, the central percentiles will move to reflect the changes in the density of the combined pdf.

What we need to do is combine the probability spaces not the percentile values. To do this we start by calculating where the percentile values from each ensemble would exist in the others probability space.

For simplicity we use linear interpolation to calculate the revised probability i.e. for each percentile in one probability space $P\left(X_{1}<x_{v a l}\right)$ we take the percentile value above and below in the other probability space and work out what the percentile value would be in that space
$P\left(X_{2} \leq x_{\text {val }}\right)=P\left(X_{2} \leq x_{\text {above }}\right)-\frac{x_{\text {above }}-x_{\text {val }}}{x_{\text {above }}-x_{\text {below }}}\left(P\left(X_{2} \leq x_{\text {above }}\right)-P\left(X_{2} \leq x_{\text {below }}\right)\right)$
For values below the percentile range the probability has been set to 0 and above it has been set it $100 \%$ however an appropriate curve could be fitted to more accurately reflect the extremes of the pdf.

| Temperature | prob space 1 | prob space 2 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.55 | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 6 | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 6.2 | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 7.81714 | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| 9.310225 | $37.57 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 9.41908 | $38.12 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 9.53888 | $38.73 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 9.78904 | $40 \%$ | $26.43 \%$ |
| 9.92814 | $46.10 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 10.01695 | $50 \%$ | $35.25 \%$ |
| 10.09732 | $55.83 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 10.1548 | $60 \%$ | $42.08 \%$ |
| 10.23 | $70 \%$ | $44.79 \%$ |
| 10.374 | $76.80 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| 10.4416 | $80 \%$ | $55.09 \%$ |
| 10.5068 | $85.82 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| 10.5536 | $90 \%$ | $61.13 \%$ |
| 10.828 | $99 \%$ | $67.78 \%$ |
| 10.9197 | $100 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| 11.1086 | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| 11.4994 | $100 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| 11.72089 | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |

We can then combine the probabilities together using which ever weighting we would like

$$
P\left(X_{\text {blended }} \leq x\right)=w_{1} P\left(X_{1} \leq x\right)+w_{2} P\left(X_{2} \leq x\right)
$$

So for example if we take $w_{1}=0.5$ and $w_{2}=0.5$

| Temperature | prob space 1 | prob space 2 | combined prob space |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.55 | $5 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| 6 | $10 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| 6.2 | $20 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| 7.81714 | $30 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 9.310225 | $37.57 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $21.29 \%$ |
| 9.41908 | $38.12 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $24.06 \%$ |
| 9.53888 | $38.73 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $29.36 \%$ |
| 9.78904 | $40 \%$ | $26.43 \%$ | $33.21 \%$ |
| 9.92814 | $46.10 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $38.05 \%$ |
| 10.01695 | $50 \%$ | $35.25 \%$ | $42.62 \%$ |
| 10.09732 | $55.83 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $47.91 \%$ |
| 10.1548 | $60 \%$ | $42.08 \%$ | $51.04 \%$ |
| 10.23 | $70 \%$ | $44.79 \%$ | $57.39 \%$ |
| 10.374 | $76.80 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $63.40 \%$ |
| 10.4416 | $80 \%$ | $55.09 \%$ | $67.54 \%$ |
| 10.5068 | $85.82 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $72.91 \%$ |
| 10.5536 | $90 \%$ | $61.13 \%$ | $75.56 \%$ |
| 10.828 | $99 \%$ | $67.78 \%$ | $83.39 \%$ |
| 10.9197 | $100 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| 11.1086 | $100 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |

The final step is to recalculate the percentiles in the new combined probability space. Again we use linear interpolation to calculate the values

$$
x_{\text {val }}=x_{\text {above }}-\frac{P\left(X \leq x_{\text {above }}\right)-P\left(X \leq x_{\text {val }}\right)}{P\left(X \leq x_{\text {above }}\right)-P\left(X \leq x_{\text {below }}\right)}\left(x_{\text {above }}-x_{\text {below }}\right)
$$

| Perecentile | value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5 \%$ | 6 |
| $10 \%$ | 6.2 |
| $20 \%$ | 9.004785 |
| $30 \%$ | 9.580121 |
| $40 \%$ | 9.965977 |
| $50 \%$ | 10.13569 |
| $60 \%$ | 10.2924 |
| $70 \%$ | 10.47143 |
| $80 \%$ | 10.70911 |
| $90 \%$ | 11.1086 |
| $99 \%$ | 11.69628 |



Figure 2
The blue/purple lines are the cdfs of the individual ensembles. The thick line is the combined (calculated from the individual ensemble members).
The brown line is the cdf (calculated from the percentiles of the ensembles using the method described above)

The method does not perfectly match the values calculated using the individual ensembles and we couldn't expect it to as some of the information is lost when the ensemble is reduced to percentiles. However it does enable as to combine ensembles with any weight we would like. It is also flexible in that we can use any percentile values (note the bottom percentile was $5 \%$ the top $99 \%$ ). Obviously the more percentile values used (especially at the extremes) the better.

We are currently running test to see how this method performs in practice.

